

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/067,919	02/08/2002	Kazuyoshi Yoshimura	KOH-201; 85780-201	8903
7590 03/05/2004			EXAMINER	
David T. Nikaido			BARBEE, MANUEL L	
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER, PLLC Suite 501 1233 20th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	
			DATE MAILED: 03/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>f</i> A	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/067,919	YOSHIMURA, KAZUYOSHI	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Manuel L. Barbee	2857	
Period fo		pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE   - External effect of the control of the contr	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rep oly within the statutory minimum of thirty ( will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 L	December 2003.		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowardosed in accordance with the practice under			
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>8-11,14-17 and 20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>12,13,18 and 19</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
* 5 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat Copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first CFR 1.78.  1) The translation of the foreign language procedures was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the first sen	ts have been received.  Its have been received in Apports documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  It of the certified copies not realize priority under 35 U.S.C. §  Its sentence of the specificate ovisional application has been to priority under 35 U.S.C. §	celication No eceived in this National Stage eceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) ion or in an Application Data Sheet. en received. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific	
2) Notic	t(s) re of References Cited (PTO-892) re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) reation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/067,919 Page 2

Art Unit: 2857

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 12, line 5 of the claim, delete the second occurrence of "display" and insert --displayed--.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Regarding claim 20, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

It is unclear whether the claim includes limitations for digital data of a certain format.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masayasu (JP 2001138978 A) in view of Yagi (US Patent Application Publication 2001/0048081).

Application/Control Number: 10/067,919

Art Unit: 2857

With regard to a sensor for detecting environmental data, as shown in claims 8 and 14, Masayasu teaches a client PC with four sensors that detect meteorological data (Abstract). With regard to a server capable of communication with a portable communications means, as shown in claims 8 and 14, Masayasu teaches a server connected with the client PC via the Internet (Abstract). With regard to measured data transmission means for transmitting the environmental data to the server and the server producing environmental data capable of being displayed based on the environmental data transmitted from the portable communication means and transmitting the data back to the portable communications means, as shown in claims 8 and 14, Masayasu teaches having the server receive meteorological data from the PC and transmitting map data and a synoptic weather chart to the PC (Abstract). With regard to displaying the data received from the server, as shown in claim 1, the Masayasu teaches using a display program to display data from the server (Abstract). Masayasu does not teach a portable telephone or communications means, as shown in claims 8 and 14. Masayasu does not teach an adapter connected between a connector on the portable telephone and the sensor for allowing entry of a detected environmental value for amplifying and converting the detected environmental value into measured data that is recognized by the measured data transmission means, as shown in claims 8 and 14.

Yagi teaches a portable information device that may be a portable telephone that includes a sensor and a computer with a display (Fig. 4; Abstract; par. 32). An amplifier and a converter are connected between the sensor and the computer (Fig. 4, amplifier 22, converter 24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 10/067,919

Art Unit: 2857

time the invention was made to modify the meteorological observation system to include a portable telephone, because then it would have been easier to carry the instrument to different locations (Yagi, par. 5). It would further have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the meteorological observation system, as taught by Masayasu, to include an amplifier and a converter, as taught by Yagi, because then the data would have been converted into a format readable by the computer.

6. Claims 9 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masayasu in view of Yagi, as applied to claims 8 and 14 above, and further in view of Zereski, Jr. et al. (US Patent No. 5,654,886).

Masayasu and Yagi teach all the limitations of claim 8 upon which claim 9 depends and claim 14 upon which claim 15. Masayasu and Yagi do not teach that the server has a database for storing data from the client terminal. Zereski, Jr. et al. teach a multimedia outdoor information system that includes a server that gathers weather information and stores the data in a database (col. 1, line 66 - col. 2, line 20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the meteorological observation network combination, as taught by Masayasu and Yagi, to include a database with the server, as taught by Zereski, Jr. et al., because then data would have been organized for ease of access (Zereski, Jr. et al., col. 1, lines 5-63).

7. Claims 10, 11, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masayasu in view of Yagi and Zereski, Jr. et al. as applied to claims

Application/Control Number: 10/067,919 Page 5

Art Unit: 2857

8, 9, 14 and 15 above, and further in view of Bradlee (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0161624).

Masayasu, Yagi and Zereski, Jr. et al. teach all the limitations of claims 8 and 9 upon which claims 10 and 11 depend and claims 14 and 15 upon which claim 16 and 17 depend. Masayasu, Yagi and Zereski, Jr. et al. do not teach a database that stores recent and past measured data, as shown in claims 10, 11, 16 and 17. Bradlee teaches a data weather source that is a database with current and past weather conditions (par. 30). Masayasu teaches transmitting data, as shown above with regard to claims 8 and 14. It would have been obvious to allow the transmission of available data including recent and past data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the meteorological observation network combination, as taught by Masayasu, Yagi and Zereski, Jr. et al., to include a database with current and past weather conditions, as taught by Bradlee, because then current conditions could have been observed.

### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 12, 13, 18 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,919

Art Unit: 2857

#### **Conclusion**

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manuel L. Barbee whose telephone number is 703-308-0979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on 703-308-1677. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0976.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 6